UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/809,789	03/26/2004	Yoshihiro Hori	65933-082	7144
	7590 11/21/200 `WILL & EMERY LL	EXAMINER		
600 13TH STR	EET, N.W.	GERGISO, TECHANE		
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005-3096		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2437	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/21/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/809,789	HORI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
TECHANE J. GERGISO	2437	

	TESTIMIL 6. SERVICES	2-101	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE F	REPLY FILED <u>11/06/2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALL	OWANCE.	
1. 🛛	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of A application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance of the Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed of the Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	Appeal. To avoid abar ., or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
_	periods: The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.		•
, ,	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	ED WITHIN TWC
have bunder set for may re	ions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 een filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply origing the in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date duce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). CE OF APPEAL	of the fee. The approprianally set in the final Office of the final rejection, even	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as ven if timely filed,
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 (avoid dismissal of the	
	IDMENTS		
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOT (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);		cause
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially recappeal; and/or	lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for
	(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally reje NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		
4. 🔲	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. 🔲	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, t non-allowable claim(s).	•	_
	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \(\text{\text{\text{\$\sigma}}} \) will not be entered, or b) \(\text{\text{\$\sigma}} \) will how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: \(\frac{1-3 \text{ and } 12-17}{1-3 \text{ and } 12-17}. \) Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	be entered and an ex	xplanation of
	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
8. 🔲	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Nobecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidaviwas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).		
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeas showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. Se	ll and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a).
REQL	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after en IEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	·	
The e Actior comm	The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in xaminer fully considered the applicant's argument filed in the After Final on November 06, 20 mailed on August 07, 2008. The applicant argues that the prior art in record does not teach and to the storage device via a bus electronically connecting the host device and the storage and." However, these subject matters argued by the applicant raise new issues that would re	08 in response to the a controller which "iss device, releases the	Final Office ues the bus for another
12. 🗀	derations Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) Other:		
/Em	manuel L. Moise/ ervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2437		

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.

Part of Paper No. 20081117